

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR. PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/090,759	03/06/2002	Tetsunori Kaji	500.41316X00	7741
20457	7590 08/13/2003			
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			EXAMINER	
SUITE 1800		KEE I	HASSANZADEH, PARVIZ	
1 HCHIOTO	11, VA 42209-3003		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
			1763 DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	60

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Astice O	10/090,759	KAJI ET AL.	J
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Parviz Hassanzadeh	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely  5 from the mailing date of this co	mmunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	06 March 2002		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠			
3) Since this application is in condition for a			•
closed in accordance with the practice up Disposition of Claims	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	s, prosecution as to the	e ments is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-8 are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers	<b>1</b>		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a	accepted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)□ approved b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner	
If approved, corrected drawings are required i			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		•	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in Applic	cation No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	BUTEAU (PC: L Rule 17 2/5))		age
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional a	onlication)
a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application has been r	received	opnoauuti).
Attachment(s)	priority diluti 55 0.5.0. 99 1	ZU aliu/Ul  Z .	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5)   Notice of 1-6	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). al Patent Application (PTO-1	 52)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 6	

Application/Control Number: 10/090,759

Art Unit: 1763

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-5, drawn to apparatus, classified in class 156, subclass 345.25.
- II. Claims 6-8, drawn to method, classified in class 438, subclass 710.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used for monitoring the state of a plasma source rather than an end point of a processing.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Claim 1 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising:

Species 1, Embodiment 1, Fig. 1;

Species 2, Embodiment 2, Fig. 2;

Species 3, Embodiment 3, Fig. 3;

Species 4, Embodiment 4, Fig. 4;

Species 5, Embodiment 5, Fig. 5;

Species 6, Embodiment 6, Fig. 6.

Application/Control Number: 10/090,759

Art Unit: 1763

Claims 6, 7 and 8 are also directed to species 7, 8, 9 corresponding to Figs. 7, 10 and 11, respectively.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Melvin Kraus on 8/7/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Parviz Hassanzadeh whose telephone number is (703)308-2050. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

P. Hananjadd Parviz Hassanzadeh **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1763

August 7, 2003